

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.     |  |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 10/797,775 03/10/2004                     |                 | Maureen R. Putt      | 998-928             | 4153                 |  |  |
| 20792                                     | 7590 01/09/2006 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER             |  |  |
| MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 |                 |                      | ENGLE, PATI         | ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN |  |  |
| RALEIGH,                                  | <del></del>     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER         |  |  |
| •   |                 |                      | 3612                |                      |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s) | _ |
|-------------------|--------------|---|
| 10/797,775        | PUTT ET AL.  |   |
| Examiner          | Art Unit     | _ |
| Patricia L. Engle | 3612         |   |

|  | Patricia L. Engle  | 3612  |                              |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add                             | ress                         |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o<br/>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo<br/>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br/>(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp<br/>following time periods:</li> </ol>   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a<br>ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in              | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 ( | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of   | the final rejection  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).   | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection.                        |                              |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | ).   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com  | nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be  | a filad within two man                        | the of the data              |  |  |  |  |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e<br>Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be<br>AMENDMENTS   | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)  | ), to avoid dismissal o                       | of the appeal.               |  |  |  |  |
| <del></del>  | that is a fall to seen the   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);  | TE below);                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in began appeal; and/or   | •  |   | the issues for               |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  | jected claims.                                |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejections under 35 USC 112, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| the non-allowable claim(s).  |  | , annoy mod amondi                            | ioni cancomig                |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | vill be entered and an                        | explanation of               |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b   | ut before or an the date of filing a h   | Nation of Annual will m                       |                              |  |  |  |  |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | d sufficient reasons why the affida  | vit or other evidence                         | is necessary                 |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa                        | ils to provide a             |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after   | entry is below or attac                       | ched.                        |  |  |  |  |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>   | it does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa                        | nce because:                 |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper  | No(s)   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  | 1  | Patricia L Engle<br>Primary Examiner          | 4<br>12-29-05                |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Art Unit: 3612                                |                              |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant argues that Kazama does not disclose a floor mat. However, as seen in Fig.2, Kazama discloses a floor panel connected to a carpet layer which covers an opening in the floor. The panel of Kazama serves the same purpose of the pliable layer with a rigid member to allow the pliable layer to be rigid over the opening in the floor, therefore the panel with the carpet acts as a floor mat.